

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/684,173	10/06/2000	James R. Kittrell	00-625	3692
	7590 - 07/23/2002			
Gregory P. LaPointe Bachman & LaPointe, P.C. 900 Chapel Street, Suite 1201			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, THAO T	
New Haven, CT 06510-2802			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	6
			DATE MAILED: 07/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		MEI			
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)			
•	09/684,173	KITTRELL, JAMES R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thao T. Tran	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	correspondenc address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron to cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on The	Amendment received on 10 Ma	<u>y 2002</u> .			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27 and 28</u> is/are pending in the appli					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	_				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		ominor			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		oved by the Examiner.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1190	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	in priority and of the training in the	-, (-, -, (-,			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	:			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No			
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ority documents have been receivureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	red in this National Stage			
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	ovisional application has been re tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	ceived. 0 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)					

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Part of Paper No. 6

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This is in response to the Amendment received on May 10, 2002. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office Action.
- 2. Claims 27-28 are currently pending in this application. Claims 29-30 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. In view of the prior Office Action of December 26, 2002, the rejection of claims 27-28, under 35 USC 102, has been withdrawn due to the Amendment made thereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanno in view of Chopin.

Kanno teaches a catalyst, comprising titania, silica, and tungsten oxide; wherein there are 0.5 to 15 parts by weight of silica to titania 100 parts by weight, and 20-95 mol % Ti (upper limit is about 75%) and 80-5mol % W (lower limit is about 25%), which read on the instantly claimed ranges (see col. 2, ln. 21-47; col. 3, ln. 6-38).

Kanno differs from the present invention because the reference does not teach the catalyst comprising platinum or palladium.

Art Unit: 1711

,50

Chopin teaches a catalyst, comprising silica, titania, tungsten oxide, and platinum; wherein the mass of platinum with respect to that of titania is between 0.01 to 5% (see col. 3, ln. 10-22; col. 4, ln. 40-61).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have included platinum as taught by Chopin into the catalyst of Kanno, because it has been within the skill in the art that the use of platinum or a noble metal as a catalyst or catalyst component would enhance the rate of the chemical reaction and also would enhance durability of the catalyst.

Moreover, it has been held that combining known ingredient having known functions, to provide a composition having the additive effect of each of the known functions is within realm of performance of ordinary skill artisan. In re Castner, 186 USPQ 213 (217). And, the use of conventional materials to perform their known functions in a conventional process is obvious. In re Raner, 134 USPQ 343 (CCPA 1962).

5. Claims 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chopin in view of Kanno.

In view of the prior Office Action of December 26, 2002, the rejection to claims 27-28 has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1711

۸,

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on May 10, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 4 of the Remarks, applicant contends that Kanno differs from the present invention because the reference teaches the titanium particles being coated with a porous layer of silica and tungsten oxide. Applicant's contention is correct. However, the claim language is not directed to whether there is a porous layer silica and tungsten on titanium or not. Applicant alleges at page 4, 3rd full paragraph, of the Remarks that the "claimed range of the present application of 10 to 50% weight tungsten oxide will be reduced to 10-25% weight tungsten oxide on Ti". However, the amendment of claim 27 now embraces verbatim the compositional limitations of the tungsten oxide as originally claimed in claim 27.

Applicant further argues that since silica content is up to 70% indicating that silica is the primary constituent. However, the claimed range of silica is 0.1-70%, which indicates that silica is not always the primary constituent of the catalyst.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's combining of Chopin with Kanno is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). In this case, as indicated in paragraph 4 above, Chopin is used to

Art Unit: 1711

Æ.

illustrate that the use of platinum in addition to other photocatalysts has been taught in the prior art as a catalyst component to enhance the reaction rate and also the durability of the catalyst.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao T. Tran whose telephone number is 703-306-5698. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, from 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 703-308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

u

π

July 19, 2002

NATHAN M. NUTTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP ()